Detecting metrologically useful multiparticle entanglement of Dicke states
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Why multipartite entanglement is important?

- Full tomography is not possible, we still have to say something meaningful.
- Claiming “entanglement” is not sufficient for many particles.
- Many experiments are aiming to create entangled states with many atoms.
- Only collective quantities can be measured.
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A state is (fully) separable if it can be written as

$$\sum_k p_k \varrho_k^{(1)} \otimes \varrho_k^{(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \varrho_k^{(N)}.$$ 

If a state is not separable then it is entangled (Werner, 1989).

- Separable states remain separable under local operations. ("Local operations and classical communication")
- Separable states can be created without real quantum interaction. They are the "boring" states.
A pure state is **k-producible** if it can be written as

\[ |\Phi\rangle = |\Phi_1\rangle \otimes |\Phi_2\rangle \otimes |\Phi_3\rangle \otimes |\Phi_4\rangle \ldots \]

where \(|\Phi_i\rangle\) are states of at most \(k\) qubits.

A mixed state is \(k\)-producible, if it is a mixture of \(k\)-producible pure states.

[ e.g., O. Gühne and GT, New J. Phys 2005. ]

- If a state is not \(k\)-producible, then it is at least \((k + 1)\)-particle entangled.

**two-producible**

**three-producible**
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For spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particles, we can measure the collective angular momentum operators:

$$J_l := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sigma^{(k)}_l,$$

where $l = x, y, z$ and $\sigma^{(k)}_l$ a Pauli spin matrices.

We can also measure the variances

$$(\Delta J_l)^2 := \langle J^2_l \rangle - \langle J_l \rangle^2.$$
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The standard spin-squeezing criterion

The spin squeezing criteria for entanglement detection is

$$\xi_s^2 = N \frac{(\Delta J_z)^2}{\langle J_x \rangle^2 + \langle J_y \rangle^2}.$$  

[A. Sørensen, L.M. Duan, J.I. Cirac, P. Zoller, Nature 409, 63 (2001).]

- If $\xi_s^2 < 1$ then the state is entangled.
- States detected are like this:
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Generalized spin squeezing criteria for $j = \frac{1}{2}$

Let us assume that for a system we know only

$$\vec{J} := (\langle J_x \rangle, \langle J_y \rangle, \langle J_z \rangle),$$

$$\vec{K} := (\langle J_x^2 \rangle, \langle J_y^2 \rangle, \langle J_z^2 \rangle).$$

Then any state violating the following inequalities is entangled:

$$\langle J_x^2 \rangle + \langle J_y^2 \rangle + \langle J_z^2 \rangle \leq \frac{N(N+2)}{4},$$

$$(\Delta J_x)^2 + (\Delta J_y)^2 + (\Delta J_z)^2 \geq \frac{N}{2},$$

$$\langle J_k^2 \rangle + \langle J_l^2 \rangle \leq (N - 1)(\Delta J_m)^2 + \frac{N}{2},$$

$$(N - 1)\left[ (\Delta J_k)^2 + (\Delta J_l)^2 \right] \geq \langle J_m^2 \rangle + \frac{N(N-2)}{4},$$

where $k, l, m$ take all the possible permutations of $x, y, z$.

Generalized spin squeezing criteria for $j = \frac{1}{2}$ II

- Separable states are in the polytope

We set $\langle J_l \rangle = 0$ for $l = x, y, z$. 
Spin squeezing criteria – Two-particle correlations

All quantities needed can be obtained with two-particle correlations

\[ \langle J_i \rangle = N \langle j_i \otimes 1 \rangle_{\rho_{2p}}; \quad \langle J_i^2 \rangle = \frac{N}{4} + N(N-1) \langle j_i \otimes j_i \rangle_{\rho_{2p}}. \]

Here, the average 2-particle density matrix is defined as

\[ \rho_{2p} = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{n \neq m} \rho_{mn}. \]

Still, we can detect states with a separable \( \rho_{2p} \).

Still, as we will see, we can even detect multipartite entanglement!
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Dicke states

- Symmetric Dicke states with $\langle J_z \rangle = 0$ (simply "Dicke states" in the following) are defined as

$$|D_N\rangle = \left(\frac{N}{N/2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_k \mathcal{P}_k (|0\rangle^{N/2} \otimes |1\rangle^{N/2}).$$

- E.g., for four qubits they look like

$$|D_4\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (|0011\rangle + |0101\rangle + |1001\rangle + |0110\rangle + |1010\rangle + |1100\rangle).$$

Dicke states are useful because they...

- ... possess strong multipartite entanglement, like GHZ states.
  [GT, JOSAB 2007.]

- ... are optimal for quantum metrology, similarly to GHZ states.
  [Hyllus et al., PRA 2012; Lücke et al., Science 2011; GT, PRA 2012; GT and Apellaniz, JPHYS A, 2014.]

- ... are macroscopically entangled, like GHZ states.
  [Fröwis, Dür, PRL 2011]
Let us rewrite the third inequality

\[ \langle J^2_k \rangle + \langle J^2_l \rangle - \frac{N}{2} \leq (N - 1)(\Delta J_m)^2. \]

It detects states close to Dicke states since

\[ \langle J^2_x + J^2_y \rangle = \frac{N}{2} \left( \frac{N}{2} + 1 \right) = \text{max.}, \]

\[ \langle J^2_z \rangle = 0. \]
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Multipartite entanglement in spin squeezing

We consider pure \(k\)-producible states of the form

\[ |\Psi\rangle = \otimes_{j=1}^{M} |\psi_j\rangle, \]

where \(|\psi_j\rangle\) is the state of at most \(k\) qubits.

Extreme spin squeezing

The spin-squeezing criterion for \(k\)-producible states is

\[
(\Delta J_z)^2 \geq J_{\text{max}} F_{\frac{k}{2}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\langle J_x \rangle^2 + \langle J_y \rangle^2}}{J_{\text{max}}} \right),
\]

where \(J_{\text{max}} = \frac{N}{2}\) and we use the definition

\[
F_j(X) := \frac{1}{j} \min_{\substack{\langle jx \rangle = X}} (\Delta j_z)^2.
\]

Multipartite entanglement around Dicke states

- Measure the same quantities as before

\[(\Delta J_z)^2\]

and

\[\langle J_x^2 + J_y^2 \rangle.\]

- In contrast, for the original spin-squeezing criterion we measured

\[(\Delta J_z)^2\] and \[\langle J_x \rangle^2 + \langle J_y \rangle^2.\]

Multipartite entanglement - Our condition

- **Sørensen-Mølmer condition for** $k$-producible states

\[
(\Delta J_z)^2 \geq J_{\text{max}} F_k \left( \frac{\sqrt{\langle J_x \rangle^2 + \langle J_y \rangle^2}}{J_{\text{max}}} \right).
\]

- Combine it with

\[
\langle J_x^2 + J_y^2 \rangle \leq J_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{k}{2} + 1 \right) + \langle J_x \rangle^2 + \langle J_y \rangle^2,
\]

which is true for pure $k$-producible states. (Remember, $J_{\text{max}} = \frac{N}{2}$.)

**Condition for entanglement detection around Dicke states**

\[
(\Delta J_z)^2 \geq J_{\text{max}} F_k \left( \frac{\sqrt{\langle J_x^2 + J_y^2 \rangle} - J_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{k}{2} + 1 \right)}{J_{\text{max}}} \right).
\]

Due to convexity properties of the expression, this is also valid to mixed separable states.
Concrete example

- \( N = 8000 \) particles, and \( J_{\text{eff}} = J_x^2 + J_y^2 \).


- Blue dashed line: linear condition given in

- Red dashed line: tangent of our curve.
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Experimental results

- Bose-Einstein condensate, 8000 particles. 28-particle entanglement is detected.

\[ \Delta J_z^2 \]

(a) (c)

(b)

\[ \Delta J_z \]

\[ J_{\text{eff}} \]

\[ J_x \]

\[ J_y \]

Giuseppe Vitagliano
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Quantum metrology

- Fundamental task in metrology

\[ \rho \theta \rho U(\theta) = \exp(-iA\theta) \]

We have to estimate \( \theta \) in the dynamics

\[ U = \exp(-iA\theta). \]
Measure an operator $M$ to get the estimate $\theta$. The precision is

$$
(\Delta \theta)^2 = \frac{(\Delta M)^2}{|\partial_\theta \langle M \rangle|^2}.
$$
The quantum Fisher information

- Cramér-Rao bound on the precision of parameter estimation

\[(\Delta \theta)^2 \geq \frac{1}{F_Q[\varrho, A]}, \quad (\Delta \theta)^{-2} \leq F_Q[\varrho, A].\]

where \(F_Q[\varrho, A]\) is the quantum Fisher information.

- The quantum Fisher information is

\[F_Q[\varrho, A] = 2 \sum_{k,l} \frac{(\lambda_k - \lambda_l)^2}{\lambda_k + \lambda_l}|\langle k|A|l\rangle|^2,\]

where \(\varrho = \sum_k \lambda_k |k\rangle \langle k|\).
The quantum Fisher information vs. entanglement

- For separable states
  \[ F_Q[\rho, J_l] \leq N. \]


- For states with at most \( k \)-particle entanglement (\( k \) is divisor of \( N \))
  \[ F_Q[\rho, J_l] \leq kN. \]


- Macroscopic superpositions (e.g., GHZ states, Dicke states)
  \[ F_Q[\rho, J_l] \propto N^2 \]
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Metrology with Dicke states

- For Dicke state

\[ \langle J_l \rangle = 0, \ l = x, y, z, \ \langle J_z^2 \rangle = 0, \ \langle J_x^2 \rangle = \langle J_y^2 \rangle = \text{large}. \]

- Linear metrology

\[ U = \exp(-iJ_\gamma \theta). \]

- Measure \( \langle J_z^2 \rangle \) to estimate \( \theta \). (We cannot measure first moments, since they are zero.)
We measure $\langle J^2_z \rangle$ to estimate $\theta$. The precision is given by the error-propagation formula

$$(\Delta \theta)^2 = \frac{(\Delta J^2_z)^2}{|\partial_\theta \langle J^2_z \rangle|^2}.$$
Formula for maximal precision

Parameter value for the maximum

\[ \tan^2 \theta_{\text{opt}} = \sqrt{\frac{(\Delta J_z^2)^2}{(\Delta J_x^2)^2}}. \]

Consistency check: for the noiseless Dicke state we have \((\Delta J_z^2)^2 = 0\), hence \(\theta_{\text{opt}} = 0\).

Iagoba Apellaniz

Given in terms of collective observables, like spin-squeezing criteria.

Metrological usefulness can be verified without carrying out the metrological task.

Experimental test of our formula

- Trying the bound for the experimental data for $N = 7900$ particles

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle J_Z^2 \rangle &= 112 \pm 31, \\
\langle J_Z^4 \rangle &= 40 \times 10^3 \pm 22 \times 10^3, \\
\langle J_X^2 \rangle &= 6 \times 10^6 \pm 0.6 \times 10^6, \\
\langle J_X^4 \rangle &= 6.2 \times 10^{13} \pm 0.8 \times 10^{13}.
\end{align*}
\]

- Hence, we obtain

\[
\frac{(\Delta \theta)^{-2}}{N} \geq 3.7 \pm 1.5.
\]

- Remember, for states for at most $k$-particle entanglement we have

\[
(\Delta \theta)^{-2} \leq F_{Q[\rho, J]} \leq kN.
\]

- Thus, four-particle entanglement is detected for this particular measurement.
For the noiseless Dicke state, the optimal operator to measure is

\[ M = J_z^2. \]

For a noisy Dicke state, this is not true any more. In this case it can happen that

\[ (\Delta \theta)^{-2} = \frac{|\partial_\theta \langle J_z^2 \rangle|^2}{(\Delta J_z^2)^2} \ll F_Q[\rho, J_y]. \]

We should estimate the quantum Fisher information.
Comparison with the quantum Fisher information II

- Noisy states

\[ \rho_{th}(T) \propto \sum_{m=0}^{N} e^{-\frac{(m-N/2)^2}{T}} |D_N^{(m)}\rangle\langle D_N^{(m)}|, \]

- Here \( T = 0 \) perfect symmetric Dicke state, \( T > 0 \) noisy state. \( N = 100 \) particles

![Graph showing \((\Delta \theta)^{-2}/N\) and \(F_Q[\rho, J_y]/N\) vs. \(T\)]
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The quantum Fisher information is the convex roof of the variance

$$F_Q[\varrho, A] = 4 \min_{p_k, \psi_k} \sum_k p_k (\Delta A)^2_k,$$

where

$$\varrho = \sum_k p_k |\psi_k \rangle \langle \psi_k|.$$


Thus, it is similar to entanglement measures that are also defined by convex roofs.
Legendre transform

Optimal linear lower bound on a convex function \( g(\varrho) \) based on an operator expectation value \( w = \langle W \rangle_\varrho = \text{Tr}(W_\varrho) \)

\[
g(\varrho) \geq rw - \text{const}.,
\]

where \( w = \text{Tr}(\varrho W) \).

For every \( r \) there is a “\text{const.}” that makes the relation an optimal linear lower bound.

How large is “\text{const.}”? It can be obtained as

\[
g(\varrho) \geq B(w) := rw - \hat{g}(rW),
\]

where \( \hat{g} \) is the Legendre transform

\[
\hat{g}(W) = \sup_\varrho [\langle W \rangle_\varrho - g(\varrho)].
\]

Tight lower bound can be obtained if we optimize over \( r \) as

\[
g(\varrho) \geq \mathcal{B}(w) := \sup_r [rw - \hat{g}(rW)],
\]

where again \( w = \text{Tr}(\varrho W) \).

The quantum Fisher information is given as a convex roof. For this case, it is sufficient to carry out an optimization over pure states

\[
\hat{g}(W) = \sup_{\psi} [\langle W \rangle_\psi - g(\psi)].
\]

With further simplifications, an optimization over a single real variable is needed.
Witnessing the quantum Fisher information based on the fidelity

- Let us bound the quantum Fisher information based on some measurements. First, consider small systems.

\[ F_Q = N^2 (1 - 2F_{GHZ})^2 \]

if \( F_{GHZ} > \frac{1}{2} \)

Quantum Fisher information vs. Fidelity with respect to (a) GHZ states and (b) Dicke states for \( N = 4, 6, 12 \).

[Apellaniz et al., arXiv:1511.05203.]
Bounding the qFi based on collective measurements

Bound for the quantum Fisher information for spin squeezed states (Pezze-Smerzi bound)

\[ F[\varrho, J_y] \geq \frac{\langle J_z \rangle^2}{(\Delta J_x)^2}. \]

[Pezze, Smerzi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 100401 (2009).]
Bounding the qFi based on collective measurements II

- Optimal bound for the quantum Fisher information $F_Q[\rho, J_y]$ for spin squeezing for $N = 4$ particles

P=fully polarized state, D=Dicke state, C=completely mixed state, M=mixture of $|00..00\rangle_x$ and $|11..11\rangle_x$

[APELLANIZ, KLEINMAN, GUHNE, GT, arXiv:1511.05203.]
Bounding the qFi based on collective measurements III

- Optimal bound for the quantum Fisher information $F_Q[\rho, J_y]$ for spin squeezing for $N = 4$ particles

On the bottom part of the figure ($\left(\Delta J_x\right)^2 < 1$) the bound is very close to the Pezze-Smerzi bound!

The bound can be obtained if additional expectation value, i.e., $\langle J_x^2 \rangle$ is measured, or we assume symmetry:

Spin squeezing experiment

- Experiment with $N = 2300$ atoms,

$$\xi_s^2 = -8.2\text{dB} = 10^{-8.2/10} = 0.1514.$$ 


- We choose

$$\langle J_z \rangle = \alpha \frac{N}{2},$$

with $\alpha = 0.85$. (Almost fully polarized.)

- The Pezze-Smerzi bound is:

$$\frac{F_Q[Q_N, J_y]}{N} \geq \frac{1}{\xi_s^2} = 6.605,$$

[Pezze, Smerzi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 100401 (2009).]
Spin squeezing experiment

- Solution starting with small systems, using

\[ \langle J_z \rangle = \frac{N'}{2} \alpha, \]

\[ (\Delta J_x)^2 = \xi_s^2 \frac{N'}{4} \alpha^2. \]

- We get 6.605!!

- Proof that the formula is optimal, and that our method works.
Detection of multipartite entanglement and metrological usefulness close to Dicke states, by measuring collective quantities only.

Lücke, Peise, Vitagliano, Arlt, Santos, GT, Klempt, PRL 112, 155304 (2014);
Apellaniz, Lücke, Peise, Klempt, GT, New J. Phys. 17, 083027 (2015);
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