Full revivals in 2D quantum walks

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2010 Phys. Scr. 2010 014035

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1402-4896/2010/T140/014035>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 148.6.138.5

The article was downloaded on 01/10/2010 at 13:01

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Full revivals in 2D quantum walks

M Štefaňák¹, B Kollár², T Kiss² and I Jex¹

¹ Department of Physics, FJFI ČVUT v Praze, Břehová 7, 115 19 Praha 1—Staré Město, Czech Republic

² Department of Quantum Optics and Quantum Information, Research Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Konkoly-Thege M. u. 29-33, H-1121 Budapest, Hungary

E-mail: martin.stefanak@fjfi.cvut.cz

Received 29 March 2010

Accepted for publication 12 April 2010

Published 30 September 2010

Online at stacks.iop.org/PhysScr/T140/014035

Abstract

Recurrence of a random walk is described by the Pólya number. For quantum walks, recurrence is understood as the return of the walker to the origin, rather than the full revival of its quantum state. Localization for two-dimensional quantum walks is known to exist in the sense of non-vanishing probability distribution in the asymptotic limit. We show, on the example of the 2D Grover walk, that one can exploit the effect of localization to construct stationary solutions. Moreover, we find full revivals of a quantum state with a period of two steps. We prove that there cannot be longer cycles for a four-state quantum walk. Stationary states and revivals result from interference, which has no counterpart in classical random walks.

PACS numbers: 03.67.–a, 05.40.Fb, 02.30.Mv

1. Introduction

Quantum walks were proposed [1] as a generalization of classical random walks to quantum mechanical systems. Continuous-time quantum walks [2] are suitable for the description of coherent transport in networks [3, 4], while discrete-time quantum walks [5–7] have found applications in quantum search algorithms [8–11]. A connection between the two types of quantum walks has been established [12, 13]. Recently, it has been shown that the quantum walk can be regarded as a universal computational primitive [14].

One of the interesting problems for random walks is to find the probability of return to the origin. Pólya showed [15] that this probability for balanced classical random walks on regular square lattices equals unity only on the line and the plane. Such random walks are called recurrent. For random walks on higher-dimensional lattices the probability of return to the origin (the Pólya number) is strictly less than one and such random walks are said to be transient. The concept of recurrence has been extended to quantum walks [16] and it has been shown that the Pólya number of a quantum walk is affected by the additional degrees of freedom offered by quantum mechanics [17] and can differ considerably from the analogous properties of classical random walks. As pointed out in [18] the recurrence of a quantum walk is understood as a partial revival of the probability at the origin, rather than a full revival of a quantum state. Nevertheless, we show that under some conditions stationary states and full revivals are possible for quantum walks. The key feature is localization, which

is an even stronger requirement than recurrence. Indeed, for localizing quantum walks the probability distribution is peaked at the origin and, moreover, has a non-vanishing asymptotic limit.

We concentrate on the two-dimensional (2D) Grover walk which has been extensively studied [19, 20] and which exhibits the unusual effect of localization [21]. Localization arises from the fact that the propagator of the quantum walk in the momentum representation has eigenvalues that do not depend on the momenta [17]. Hence, the propagator of the quantum walk in the position representation has a point spectrum and the corresponding eigenvectors are stationary states. Since there are two different eigenvalues, the linear combination of their corresponding eigenvectors results in a simple oscillatory behaviour that shows full quantum state revivals.

The paper is organized as follows: we introduce the Grover walk on a plane in section 2. In section 3, we discuss the stationary states and full revivals that emerge in our model. In section 4, we analyse the point spectrum of the propagator for a general four-state quantum walk on a plane. We conclude by presenting an outlook in section 5.

2. Grover walk on a plane

Let us consider the Grover walk on a plane. The Hilbert space of the particle has the form of the tensor product

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_P \otimes \mathcal{H}_C. \quad (1)$$

Figure 1. The stationary state of the 2D Grover walk. In the left plot we show the initial state of the quantum walk, which is chosen to be $|\psi_1\rangle$. The position of the particle is depicted by the circles and the coin states are denoted by the arrows. As we illustrate in the middle plot, the coin flip results in reverting the initial coin states. After the displacement the particle returns to the initial state, as we show in the right plot. Indeed, the state $|\psi_1\rangle$ is an eigenvector of the propagator.

The position space $\mathcal{H}_P$ is spanned by vectors $|\mathbf{m}\rangle$, where $\mathbf{m}$ is a two-component integer vector corresponding to the location of the particle on the lattice. The particle is allowed to move by one step in all four directions in all planes. To each of these directions we assign a basis vector of the coin space $\mathcal{H}_C$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}_C = \mathbb{C}^4 = \text{Span}\{|R\rangle, |L\rangle, |U\rangle, |D\rangle\}. \quad (2)$$

A single step of the quantum walk is given by the propagator

$$U = S(I \otimes C), \quad (3)$$

where C denotes the coin operator and the displacement S has the form

$$S = \sum_{n,m=-\infty}^{+\infty} (|m+1, n\rangle\langle m, n| \otimes |R\rangle\langle R| + |m-1, n\rangle\langle m, n| \otimes |L\rangle\langle L| + |m, n+1\rangle\langle m, n| \otimes |U\rangle\langle U| + |m, n-1\rangle\langle m, n| \otimes |D\rangle\langle D|). \quad (4)$$

As a coin operator we choose the 4×4 Grover matrix

$$C = G = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

The state of the particle after t steps is given by the successive application of the propagator U on the initial state. Since the model is translationally invariant the time evolution is greatly simplified in the Fourier picture [17]. In the momentum representation the time-evolution equation turns into a single difference equation where the key role is played by the propagator

$$\tilde{U}(\mathbf{k}) = \text{Diag}(e^{ik}, e^{-ik}, e^{il}, e^{-il}) \cdot G. \quad (6)$$

The time evolution in the momentum representation is solved by diagonalizing the propagator $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{k})$, and by means of the inverse Fourier transformation we can find the solution in the position representation. The behaviour of the quantum walk is thus determined by the spectrum of the propagator $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{k})$ [17].

3. Stationary states and full revivals

After specifying our model, let us discuss the stationary states and full revivals. The key feature is that the spectrum

of the propagator $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{k})$, expressed in equation (6), contains two eigenvalues independent of the momenta [17], namely $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = -1$. Hence, the propagator in the position representation U , given by equation (3), has a point spectrum with the same eigenvalues. The corresponding eigenvectors are indeed stationary states. Moreover, we have two different eigenvalues and we can choose the initial state as a linear combination of the eigenvectors. This results in a state that will undergo a cyclic evolution. Since $\lambda_1^2 = \lambda_2^2 = 1$ the length of the cycle is two—the particle will oscillate between two states.

Let us now analyse the stationary states in more detail. The non-normalized eigenvectors of $\tilde{U}(\mathbf{k})$ corresponding to the constant eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2} = \pm 1$ have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(\mathbf{k}) &= (e^{ik}(1 + e^{il}), 1 + e^{il}, e^{il}(1 + e^{ik}), 1 + e^{ik}), \\ v_2(\mathbf{k}) &= (e^{ik}(1 - e^{il}), -1 + e^{il}, e^{il}(1 - e^{ik}), -1 + e^{ik}). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The stationary states in the position representation are given by the Fourier transformation of these eigenvectors. Since the eigenvectors $v_{1,2}(\mathbf{k})$ depend on momenta $\mathbf{k}$, the stationary states are delocalized. Indeed, if the particle starts the quantum walk from a single position there cannot be any interference in the first step. Hence, the localized initial state has to spread. Performing a Fourier transformation of the above written eigenvectors and normalizing, we find the stationary states

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} (|0, 0\rangle(|L\rangle + |D\rangle) + |1, 0\rangle(|R\rangle + |D\rangle) \\ &\quad + |0, 1\rangle(|L\rangle + |U\rangle) + |1, 1\rangle(|R\rangle + |U\rangle)), \\ |\psi_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} (-|0, 0\rangle(|L\rangle + |D\rangle) + |1, 0\rangle(|R\rangle + |D\rangle) \\ &\quad + |0, 1\rangle(|L\rangle + |U\rangle) - |1, 1\rangle(|R\rangle + |U\rangle)). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We note that due to the translational invariance of 2D Grover walk, there are infinitely many stationary states that are created by simply shifting the eigenvectors specified in (8) to a different lattice point. Hence, each of the eigenvalues of the propagator U is infinitely degenerate.

We illustrate these results in figures 1 and 2. In figure 1, we show the behaviour of the eigenvector $|\psi_1\rangle$ during a single step of the quantum walk. Let us recall that a single step consists of two parts—first we apply the coin flip and

Figure 2. Full revival in the 2D Grover walk. As the initial state we choose $|\psi(0)\rangle$ given by (10), which is not an eigenvector of the evolution operator. The coin flip reverts the initial states of the coin, as can be seen from the upper middle plot. The lower left plot indicates that displacing the particle evolves it into an orthogonal state. Indeed, the particle is now located at different lattice points than in the previous step. This is a consequence of the fact that $|\psi(0)\rangle$ is an equal superposition of the two eigenvectors. Finally, applying the coin flip and the displacement returns the particle into the initial state.

then we conditionally displace the particle. From the form of the eigenvector $|\psi_1\rangle$ given by (8) we see that at each of the occupied lattice positions the coin state is an equal superposition of two basis states. An important property of the Grover coin is that it turns such a superposition into an equal superposition of the other two basis states, e.g.

$$G(|R\rangle + |U\rangle) = |L\rangle + |D\rangle. \quad (9)$$

Hence, the coin flip applied to the eigenvector $|\psi_1\rangle$ results in reverting the initial coin states, as can be seen from the comparison of the left and centre plot. Performing the displacement we return the particle into the initial state, as illustrated in the right plot.

In figure 2, we choose as the initial state an equal superposition of the two eigenvectors

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(0)\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\psi_1\rangle + |\psi_2\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(|1, 0\rangle(|R\rangle + |D\rangle) + |0, 1\rangle(|L\rangle + |U\rangle)), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

which is not an eigenvector of the propagator. Indeed, we immediately see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(1)\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\psi_1\rangle - |\psi_2\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(|0, 0\rangle(|L\rangle + |D\rangle) + |1, 1\rangle(|R\rangle + |U\rangle)), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

which differs from the initial state. Since the initial state is an equal superposition of the two eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues after a single step of the quantum walk, it evolves into an orthogonal state. As a consequence of the relation $\lambda_1^2 = \lambda_2^2 = 1$ we find that $|\psi(2)\rangle = |\psi(0)\rangle$. Hence, the state $|\psi(0)\rangle$ undergoes a cyclic evolution with a period of two steps.

Quantum walks showing full revivals with a period longer than two steps would require either a propagator that has two eigenvalues with a phase difference distinct from π or a propagator with more than two eigenvalues. However, in the following section we show that this is not possible for a four-state quantum walk on a plane.

4. Point spectrum of a general propagator

In this section, we show that, in general, the propagator of a four-state quantum walk on a plane either has an empty point spectrum or has two eigenvalues with a π phase difference. Let C be an arbitrary 4×4 unitary matrix; the propagator of the quantum walk on a plane is then given by

$$\tilde{U}(k, l) = \text{Diag}(e^{ik}, e^{-ik}, e^{il}, e^{-il}) \cdot C. \quad (12)$$

Clearly, all four eigenvalues of $\tilde{U}(k, l)$ cannot be independent of the momenta. The case of three constant eigenvalues can also be ruled out. Indeed, the determinant of $\tilde{U}(k, l)$ equals the determinant of C , i.e. the product of the eigenvalues of $\tilde{U}(k, l)$ is constant. Hence, if $\tilde{U}(k, l)$ has three constant eigenvalues the fourth eigenvalue has to be constant as well.

Let us now assume that λ is a momentum-independent eigenvalue of $\tilde{U}(k, l)$. We show that in such a case $-\lambda$ is also an eigenvalue. The characteristic polynomial of $\tilde{U}(k, l)$ has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^4 - a(e^{\pm ik}, e^{\pm il})\lambda^3 + (b + c(e^{\pm ik \pm il}))\lambda^2 \\ - d(e^{\pm ik}, e^{\pm il})\lambda + \det C = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The explicit form of a, b, c, d can be readily calculated, but for our purpose only the functional dependence of the coefficients on k and l is of importance. Suppose now that λ is a constant solution of (13). By taking the derivative of the

characteristic polynomial (13) with respect to both k and l , it is easy to see that $c = 0$. Moreover, since equation (13) has to be satisfied for all values of k and l , the following conditions have to be fulfilled independently:

$$\begin{aligned} a(e^{\pm ik}, e^{\pm il})\lambda^3 + d(e^{\pm ik}, e^{\pm il})\lambda &= 0, \\ \lambda^4 + b\lambda^2 + \det C &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We see that $-\lambda$ satisfies both of these equations. Hence, $-\lambda$ is a solution of the characteristic polynomial (13), i.e. $-\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the propagator in the momentum representation $\tilde{U}(k, l)$.

Due to the connection between the point spectrum of the propagator in the position representation U and the constant eigenvalues of the propagator in the momentum representation $\tilde{U}(k, l)$, we conclude that the point spectrum of U is either empty or equals $\{\pm\lambda\}$. Since the global phase of the propagator is irrelevant we can choose $\lambda = 1$.

5. Conclusions

We have demonstrated, on the example of the 2D Grover walk, that quantum walks can have stationary states or can show full revivals. The existence of full revivals in the evolution of a quantum walk is another fascinating effect emphasizing the difference between classical and quantum walks. It is a direct consequence of the non-empty point spectrum of the propagator driving the quantum walk. For the four-state Grover walk on a plane the point spectrum is rather simple, which results in two-step revivals. Moreover, we have shown that revivals with a longer period than two steps cannot be achieved for a four-state quantum walk. To find quantum walks with a broader point spectrum leading to intriguing revival dynamics is the current scope of our investigation.

Acknowledgments

We thank E Kajari, J Bergou and S Pascazio for stimulating discussions. Financial support from MSM 6840770039, MŠMT LC 06002, the Czech–Hungarian cooperation project (KONTAKT, CZ-11/2009) and the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (contract no. T049234) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] Aharonov Y, Davidovich L and Zagury N 1993 *Phys. Rev. A* **48** 1687
- [2] Farhi E and Gutmann S 1998 *Phys. Rev. A* **58** 915
- [3] Mülken O, Blumen A, Amthor T, Giese Ch, Reetz-Lamour M and Weidemueller M 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** 090601
- [4] Mülken O, Bierbaum V and Blumen A 2007 *Phys. Rev. E* **75** 031121
- [5] Meyer D 1996 *J. Stat. Phys.* **85** 551
- [6] Meyer D 1996 *Phys. Lett. A* **223** 337
- [7] Watrous J 2001 *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.* **62** 376
- [8] Shenvi N, Kempe J and Whaley K B 2003 *Phys. Rev. A* **67** 052307
- [9] Kendon V 2006 *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **364** 3407
- [10] Gabris A, Kiss T and Jex I 2007 *Phys. Rev. A* **76** 062315
- [11] Potoček V, Gabris A, Kiss T and Jex I 2009 *Phys. Rev. A* **79** 012325
- [12] Strauch F W 2006 *Phys. Rev. A* **74** 030301
- [13] Chandrashekar C M 2008 *Phys. Rev. A* **78** 052309
- [14] Childs A M 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 180501
- [15] Pólya G 1921 *Math. Annal.* **84** 149
- [16] Štefaňák M, Jex I and Kiss T 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** 020501
- [17] Štefaňák M, Kiss T and Jex I 2008 *Phys. Rev. A* **78** 032306
- [18] Chandrashekar C M 2010 arXiv:0810.5592v4
- [19] Mackay T D, Bartlett S D, Stephenson L T and Sanders B C 2002 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** 2745
- [20] Tregenna B, Flanagan W, Maile R and Kendon V 2003 *New J. Phys.* **5** 83
- [21] Inui N, Konishi Y and Konno N 2004 *Phys. Rev. A* **69** 052323